



Soil Mapping for Carbon Sequestration and Regenerative Agriculture Using Gamma Analysis Technology

H. Allen Torbert



USDA-ARS National Soil Dynamics Laboratory Auburn, Alabama Mobile Inelastic Neutron Scattering (MINS) Soil Scanning system "In Situ" Soil Analysis



NSDL Science Team

Dr. Allen Torbert Dr. Galina Yakubova Dr. Aleksandr Kavetskiy Dr. Stephen Prior





2003 -US DOE Brookhaven National Laboratory Dr. Lucian Wielopolski

- First proceedings article 2003
- Proof of Concept Experiments 2005
- First journal article 2008
- Soil Scanning possible 2019

Wielopolski, L, G. Hendrey, K. Johnsen, S. Mitra, S.A. Prior, H.H. Rogers, and H.A. Torbert. 2008. Non-destructive system for analyzing carbon in the soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 72:1269-1277.

Kavetskiy, A., G. Yakubova, N. Sargsyan, C. Wikle, S.A. Prior, H.A. Torbert, and B.A. Chin. 2019. Scanning mode application of neutrongamma analysis for soil carbon mapping. Pedosphere 29:334-343. DOI:10.1016/S1002-0160(19)60806-4.

SDA Agricultural Research Service





2005 - US DOE Brookhaven National Laboratory



Long Island, NY

Auburn, AL



General Principal of the INS method

Neutron interaction with an atomic nucleus



Each kind of nucleus and process produces gamma-rays of a particular energy

Main soil elements are Si, O, Al, H, C, Na, N, K, Ca, Fe

Soil Carbon Measurement is based on 14 MeV inelastic neutron scattering from carbon nuclei and measurement of the carbon 4.44 MeV characteristic γ radiation.





Main Components and Instruments for MINS System



MP320 Neutron Generator (DT)

Dimensions: 28 cm x 46 cm x 15 cm Weight: 12 kg

Nal Gamma Detector



Crystal dimensions: 14 cm x 14 cm x 15 cm Weight: 16 kg

Gamma Spectroscopy Electronics XIA Vega Board



Neutron Detector



GPS



All equipment is commercially available





MINS System for Soil C Analysis in Agricultural Fields

Field System Geometry and System Design with GPS



Scanning, data acquisition, and processing are operated by specially developed software



Scanning productivity: ~640 acres/day

MINS system has been demonstrated to work reliably under field conditions





General Geometry of Neutron Stimulated Gamma Spectroscopy for Soil C Analysis

Measurement Geometry



Main System Components:

Neutron Source – D-T Neutron Generator MP320, 10⁷ n/s Gamma Detector – large volume (several dm³) inorganic scintillator Nal(Tl), LaBr₃(Ce), BGO

Analyzed Soil Volume (MC simulation)



Doron, O., 2007. Simulation of an INS Soil Analysis System, Dissertation Thesis,\The University of Texas at Austin

Analyzed Soil Volume: footprint 2.5 m² depth 30 cm Analyzed Soil Mass: ~300 kg





USE PFTNA Technique

Pulsed Fast Thermal Neutron Analysis (PFTNA)



INS spectrum = (INS+TNC+DA) – (TNC+DA) spectra

INS(TNC) spectrum = INS(TNC) spectrum from soil + INS(TNC) System Background spectrum

Extracting the gamma response from one specific element is possible

Agricultural Research Service



Separation of carbon peak area at 4.44 MeV



Soil Carbon peak area = (Measured "Carbon" peak area - BKG "Carbon" peak area) - $k_1 \cdot$ (Measured "Silicon" peak area - BKG "Silicon" peak area)

Agricultural Research Service



Comparison of MINS and Dry Combustion Analysis

Aerial view of surveyed field showin site divisions

yellow markers are locations of PFTNA measurements and sample collections



Dry combustion analysis processing scheme



Separate analysis of Soil Depth Increments Required Separate sampling for soil Bulk Density Required

USDA Agricultural Research Service



Verification of MINS for Soil C Analysis of 30 cm soil layer (static mode)

Deming Regression Plot

Deming regression plot of surface density in upper 30 cm soil layer measured by PFTNA and DC methods

0.4 cm, gC/cm² 30 0.2 at values Ы 0.0 an PFTNA Åď -0.2 Differen -0.4 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 Average b/w PFTNA and DC values at 30 cm, gC/cm

The Bland-Altman graph for surface density in upper 30

Bland-Altman Graph

Jarque-Bera Test for Normality

JBStatistic = 1.28 Critical value = 3.35 at 0.05 significance level

These statistical methods support the workability of neutron stimulated

gamma spectroscopy for soil carbon analysis in the 30 cm soil layer

Agricultural Research Service

National Soil Dynamics Laboratory, Auburn, AL

cm soil layer by PFTNA and DC methods



Verification of MINS for Soil C Analysis of 30 cm soil layer (scanning mode)



Field site for scanning MINS scanning pass and soil sampling points

Accuracy = $+/-9.0 \text{ mg C/cm}^3$



Prediction band for average carbon density in 30 cm (g C/cm³) for chemical analysis with DC and MINS scan

85% improvement in prediction bands compared to traditional soil sampling







E.V. Smith Experiment Station Whole Field Site

- 30-acre field study site was established at the Auburn University E.V. Smith Research station and grid sampled with 196 sample grid point core sampling scheme (one core/grid site) to establish a known soil C density.
- Each core was divided into 10 cm depth increments down to 30 cm (3 samples/core). Soil bulk density was determined on each soil core.
- At each gride site soil moisture and soil C density was independently determined using a Troxler soil moisture and density meter.
- The same field was scanned with 11 replications of the MINS measurements on a path that would include each of the 196 soil coring locations.

Agricultural Research Service



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name
ReA	Riverview silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes, occasionally flooded
ToB	Toccoa fine sandy loam, gently undulating, occasionally flooded

Results

Core Soil C = 21.8 mg C/cm³ MINS Soil C = 21.1 mg C/cm³









Accuracy Study

E.V. Smith Experiment Station Whole Field Site

Soil Sampling Accuracy = +/- 0.7 mg C/cm³ MINS Accuracy = +/- 1.1 mg C/cm³

Predictive Band Soil Sampling = +/- 9.0 mg C/cm³ Predictive Band MINS = +/- 5.0 mg C/cm³
80% Increase

USDA Agricultural Research Service





Core C = 16.0 mg C/cm³ MINS C = 15.2 mg C/cm³

Bootstrap Accuracy analysis indicates that a soil sampling of this 40-acre field needed 20 sites with 2 cores per site to match MINS scan accuracy.





MINS commercial status 09/24

Technology Licensed to Carbon Assets Solutions or CAS

- ISO standard for a MRV certified carbon credit
- Global launch at AIM4C Washington DC
- USDA ARS awards for Technology Transfer, REE Under Secretary Award
- Commercial deployment USA, Canada, Australia
- USA Department of Defense Contract 2023
- First farms were credited under Voluntary Carbon program Q4 2023
- 3 new joint USDA/CAS patent applications

SGS Methodology Validation Certificate

Validation criteria: ISO 14064-2 & ISO 14064-3 Validation date: 02 May 2023

Sustainability Assurance Solution - GHG Verified



Application of Methodology Validation

Carbon Asset Solutions Ltd Level 19, 10 Eagle Street, Brisbane QLD 4000

SGS Methodology Validation Outcome

SGS Australia concludes with reasonable assurance that the calculations and analyses of methodologies described in Carbon Asset Solutions Soil Carbon Methodology (CAS-SCM) is developed in accordance with ISO 14064-3 2019, ISO 14064-2 2019 guideline for quartifying, monitoring and reporting of GHG emission reductions or removal enhancements.

Validation Outcome Validation Criteria: ISO 14064-2 & ISO 14064-3 Validation Date: 02 May 2023 Validated by: Mohammad Esteki – Lead Validator

This Validation is valid from 02 May 2023 until 02 May 2024 and remains valid subject to satisfactory surveillance assessment.

& Thuilton

Emanuiel Dumitru Sustainability Assurance Manager SGS Australia

This Document is an authentic electronic statement for the client lousiness purposes use only. Printed version of the electronic statement is permitted and will be considered as a copy. This document is issued by the company subject to SSS General conditions of services available on Terms and Conditions. This document is copyright protected and any unauthorized attention, forgery or biolistication of the content or appearance of this document is unauful.



Methodology of MINS scanning measurements



Latitude, Longitude & Carbon content

Mapping by ArcMap software



Polygon creation



Soil carbon map examples



USDA Agricultural Research Service



Cost of Soil Analysis

Average cost for lab analysis USA \$21 USD/ sample 300 acres farm @ 100 samples \$12,340 \$48/ acre

Cost for the MINS analysis **≤\$10/acre**







MINS Key Benefits

Accurate

- +/- 0.9 mgC/cm³ 95% confidence level
- Highly increasing result representativity due to massive increase in sample volume
 In situ
- 3-dimensional measurement without soil disturbance
- No lab samples or secondary field calibration
- No additional bulk density measurements

Instant results

- Digital results direct to cloud based data management using Microsoft Azure
 Verifiable
- Designed to meet ISO 14064-2 2019 and ISO 14064-3 2019

Scalable

• Low operational cost





CAS Business Model

> Approach 1 – Enroll the farm/project in the CAS carbon monetization program CAS Soil Carbon Methodology.

No cost to farmers

The farmer would store carbon through regenerative Ag practices, and the 'additional' carbon that is measured in the soil would be sold as a carbon credit, with farmer receiving 60% of the total sale price

>Approach 2 – per acre fee for service scanning

Negotiated into a NRCS program.

CAS would conduct a soil carbon scan for the farm. A map would be provided along with a tally of the carbon in the field. Repeat scans several years hence would confirm gain.



Questions